





UNet+ models using multi-source EO data in forest inventory

ESA RepreSent user workshop 4 May 2023

Oleg Antropov, VTT









Deep learning models

 \rightarrow 20-30 %

 \rightarrow 20-25 %

 \rightarrow 17-19 %

Multi-source EO data and regression task

Typical plot level-accuracies¹ using traditional modelling approaches (e.g., RF, SVM, MLR)





Capable of automatically extracting spatial textural and temporal dependencies vs "handengineered features"

- Require high quality and extensive reference data labels, that is fully segmented labels
- Already guite popular in semantic segmentation tasks with EO data, such as land cover mapping
- Semi-supervised learning and weakly supervised learning scenarios are suitable
- Antropov et al. "Intercomparison of EO data and methods for forest mapping in the 1. context of forest carbon monitoring", IGARSS 2022
- 2. Ge et al. Improved semisupervised unet deep learning model for forest height mapping with satellite sar and optical data, IEEE JSTARS, 2022
- Ge et al. Improved LSTM model for boreal Forest Height Mapping Using Sentinel-1 3. Time Series, Remote Sensing, 2022



How to train DL models using small amount of reference data?

UNet model as an good example

- Popular deep learning model in computer vision
- Accurate, efficient, flexible with reduced data requirements
- Well suitable for satellite image analysis thanks to symmetric structure
- Good performance in prior exercises with fully segmented labels for semantic segmentation and regression tasks

Ways to use "weak" reference data

- Use model pretraining over areas where fully segmented labels are available to develop a forest-specific model
- Perform model transfer for fine-tuning using a small set of reference labels in the target site





Prior experience in DL semantic segmentation





Šćepanović, Antropov, et al. Wide-area land cover mapping with Sentinel-1 imagery using deep learning semantic segmentation models. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, 2021.



UNet based models





SeUNet model (embedded squeeze-excitation blocks)



advanced UNet models*



*Ge, Gu, Su, Praks, Antropov, Improved semi-supervised UNet deep learning model for forest height mapping with satellite SAR and optical data. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, 2022.



UNet based models





Key points:

- Target variable forest tree height, reference data – airborne laser measurements, predictor variables – features from several sets of satellite EO datasets (radar channels, optical bands)
- Comparison with popular machine learning approaches: MLR, SVR, random forests
- Testing separately Sentinel-1 images (frozen/nonfrozen), Sentinel-1 time series (27 datatakes), "good" Sentinel-2 image



Ge, Gu, Su, Praks, Antropov, Improved semi-supervised UNet deep learning model for forest height mapping with satellite SAR and optical data. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, 2022.



Results using fully segmented data





Forest height prediction performance for various EO datasets and prediction methods. (Ge et al. 2022)

Ge, Gu, Su, Praks, Antropov, Improved semi-supervised UNet deep learning model for forest height mapping with satellite SAR and optical data. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, 2022.



1. Data Preprocessing

DL model transfer





*Ge, Antropov, Häme, Miettinen et al. Deep learning models with transfer learning in boreal forest mapping using multi-source satellite SAR/InSAR and optical images, *submitted*, 2023.



DL model transfer





MS-EO RMSE=5.67 (38.0%) b=-2.32 R2=0.481

15

15

reference forest height

20 25

20

nce forest height.

MS-EO: Sentinel-2 & Sentinel-1 & ALOS-2 PALSAR-2 & TanDEM-X



forest height map

*Ge, Antropov, Häme, Miettinen et al. Deep learning models with transfer learning in boreal forest mapping using multi-source satellite SAR/InSAR and optical images, submitted, 2023.



Conclusions

- Forest specific model pretraining works well
- Prediction accuracies are only slightly worse compared to model trained using fully-segmented labels
- Prediction accuracy considerably better compared to classical methodologies, including kNN, random forests, SVR.
- Method is "robust" in no-data or scarce data scenario (e.g., when number of plots is reduced to dozens instead of hundreds, or certain heigh/biomass classes are underrepresented or completely missing)
- The approach is applicable to other forest variables (e.g., growing stock volume or forest biomass)
- Several pretrained models are available via F-TEP



©VTT, 2023



Thank you!